

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/995,303	BECK, ROBERT C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW F. DESANTO	3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW F. DESANTO. (3) _____.

(2) Robert Beck. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representative discussed amendments to the claims that would overcome the prior art of record and put the case closer to allowance. The examiner suggested reciting some method steps as well as amending the wall structure to recite that the wall is tapered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew F DeSanto/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763	
--	--